



**Dyke Inspection Sheet**Dyke: Broughdale Dyke
Weather: Sunny, 27 °C
Immediate Action Required •

Report No.: 1 of 2

Date: June 21, 2004

Inspected By: N.Oliveira, S.Jeater Further Investigative Work •

General Information		
Section Inspected:	Overall Condition Rating: 4 (this section only)	
Complete •	Comments:	
Section ✓ Specify: <u>0+000</u> to <u>0+425</u> m		
Adjacent Property Use:	Comments: Adjacent parkland (Ross Park) from St. 0+000 to 0+425,	
Residential ✓ Parkland ✓	becoming residential at 0+425.	
Commercial • Industrial •	boothing rooteontal at 5 × 125.	
Dyke Facing		
Dyke Face Material:	Structural Condition (Dyke Face):	
Concrete •	<del>                                   </del>	
Gabion	Erosion  • Damage (impact, cracks, etc)  • Specify type:	
Gabion	unevenness, slipping,	
	bulging or slumping)	
Earthfill ✓	Condition Rating: 4	
Other ✓ Specify: manicured grass	Comments: No erosion or movement observed.	
surface/rough vegetation/trees		
Condition Rating: 4		
<b>Comments:</b> Dyke face consists primarily of an earth berm with		
manicured grass covering (maintained by the City). Rough		
vegetation consisting of bushes/shrubs at ~St. 0+070 to 0+080		
(photo 7739). Scattered trees located along dyke face/toe from		
~St. 0+125 to 0+160.		
Toe:	Joints: (not applicable to earthfill dykes)	
Toe Protection Material (concrete, gabion, earth or other)	Sealant Present Yes • No •	
Specify: Primarily earth (soil) with overlying manicured grass		
covering.		
Erosion Yes • No ✓	If yes, condition rating:	
Condition Rating: 4	Vegetation (in joints) Yes • No •	
Comments: No signs of erosion noted.	Comments: Not applicable.	
Vegetation:	Water Infiltration: (look for where water can leak behind structure)	
None • Full ✓ Partial •	Yes • No ✓	
Type: Primarily manicured grass with some rough vegetation	If yes, due to:	
and scattered mature trees.		
Comments: Vegetation consisting primarily of manicured grass	Joints • Cracks •	
(City maintained) with moderate vegetation from St. 0+070 to	Liner • Other (specify) •	
0+080.	Comments:	
Additional Information:	-	
Access Available Yes ✓ No •	Estimated Water Depth: not applicable	
Comments: Access available along manicured grass (photo 7740		
Top of Dyke		
Dyke Top Material:	Structural Condition (Top of Dyke):	
Concrete • Asphalt •	Erosion (behind wall, etc.) • Damage (vandalism, etc) •	
Earth ✓ Rip Rap •	Movement (including • Specify type:	
	unevenness, heaving or	
	settlement)	
Other ✓ Specify: Grass covering		
Condition Rating: 4	Condition Rating: 4	
<b>Comments:</b> Generally earth berm with grass covering (photo	Comments: No noticeable signs of erosion.	
7743).		
Pedestrian Access:	Protective Barrier:	
Sidewalk • Pathway • None ✓	Fence • Guard Rail • None ✓	
Other • Specify:	Other • Specify:	
If present, width of access way:	Condition Rating (if present):	
Condition Rating (if present):	Comments: No protective barrier present. Side slopes moderate.	
Comments: Although no constructed pathway exists (i.e.		
asphalt, etc.), the top of dyke is easily accessible and consists of		
well manicured grass.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	

Top of Dyke - Continued		
Joints : (not applicable to earthfill dykes)   Sealant Present Yes • No •	Drainage Conditions: (ponding or low areas present) Good ✓ Poor • Comments: No ponding of water observed along top of dyke.	
Illumination: (check for lighting present along the top of the dyke)  Yes • No ✓  Condition Rating:  Comments:	Vegetation:  None • Full ✓ Partial •  Type: Grass  Comments: Grassed areas observed along the length of dyke inspected.	
Additional Information:  Comments: Area inspected relates to berm extension work completed in 1991.		
Area behind the Dyke (immediately adjacent)		
Predominant Material:  Concrete ✓ Asphalt ✓ Earth • Grass ✓ Other • Specify: Condition Rating: 4 Comments: Combination of asphalt pathway (near St. 0+000 to 0+025) and concrete sidewalk (City owned) from 0+025 to ~0+075 (along Richmond Street) separated by a guard rail. Predominantly manicured grass to St. 0+425.	Drainage Conditions: (ponding or low areas present) Good ✓ Poor • Comments: No ponding of water observed, nor were there any significant low lying areas. Are catchbasins present? Yes • No ✓ If yes, condition rating: Comments:	
Vegetation:         None       • Full       ✓ Partial       •         Type:       Comments:       See above.	Accessibility: (check for access areas behind the dyke only) Sidewalks • Pathways • Roads • None ✓ Condition Rating (if present): 4 Comments: Area behind the dyke generally accessed via well manicured grass throughout park. Sections from St. 0+000 to 0+075 consisting of a combination of asphalt pathway to concrete sidewalk.	
Protective Barrier:  Fence • Guard ✓ None ✓ Rail  Other • Specify:  If fence, list type and ownership (i.e. private or city): City owned guard rail from ~St. 0+020 to 0+080. No protective barrier present until start of privacy fencing at St. 0+425.  Condition Rating (if present): 4 (guard rail only)  Comments:	Illumination: (check for lighting present behind the dyke) Yes ✓ No ✓  Condition Rating: 4 Comments: Limited lighting from St. 0+000 to ~0+080 from City of London light standards located along Richmond Street. No lighting present afterwards.	
Storm Sewers/Sanitary Sewers/Outlet Structures		
Yes • No ✓ (if no, proceed to next section)  If yes, type of structure (i.e. outlet, headwall, drainage pipe, channel or flush): Specify:  Condition Rating:  Comments: No sewers or outlet structures observed on or immediately adjacent to dyke over section reviewed.  Outlet Flow: (check for condition of channel downstream from pipe, obstructions within pipe, etc.)  Obstructions  Yes • No •	Outlet: (check for presence of gates or grates at the outlet)  Flap Gate Yes No Safety Grate Yes No Condition Rating:  Comments: Not applicable.  General Comments:	
Downstream Erosion Yes • No • Comments: Not applicable	TING SYSTEM	

Structure (or element) in very poor or unsafe condition which may pose public safety hazard.

Structure (or element) in poor condition with significant deterioration noted. Deteriorations noted may impact on integrity and may require significant capital cost to bring to fair to poor condition rating. No safety hazard noted.

Structure (or element) condition varies from fair to poor with some signs of significant deterioration in localized areas. Able to perform function, but at reduced capacity.

Structure (or element) in fair condition with no visible signs of significant deterioration. Able to perform intended function with no apparent hindrance.

Structure (or element) in good condition with minor deterioration. Able to perform intended function with no apparent hindrance.



**Dyke Inspection Sheet**Dyke: Broughdale Dyke
Weather: Sunny, ~ 27 °C
Emergency Action Required •

Report No.: 2 of 2

Date: June 21, 2004

Inspected By: N.Oliveira, S.Jeater Further Investigative Work •

Energency Action Required Truther investigative work		
General Information		
Section Inspected:           Complete         •           Section         ✓         Specify: 0+425 to 0+766.8 m	Overall Condition Rating: 4 (this section only)  Comments: Generally less steep conditions encountered in comparison to the previous section inspected, however several steep areas were noted and should be monitored over time.	
Adjacent Property Use:  Residential ✓ Parkland ✓	areas were noted and should be monitored over time. <b>Comments:</b> Adjacent residential from 0+425 to 0+525. A daycare facility and commercial businesses border the dyke from 0+525 to	
Commercial • Industrial •	0+600 (termination at Wellington Road).	
Dyke Facing		
Dyke Face Material:	Structural Condition (Dyke Face):	
Concrete •	Erosion • Damage (impact, cracks, etc) ✓	
Gabion ✓	Movement (including • Specify type: unevenness, slipping, bulging or slumping)	
<i>Earthfill</i> ✓	Condition Rating: 4	
Other ✓ Specify: Rough vegetation (photo 7755)/wood retaining wall	<b>Comments:</b> Some rotting and minor damage to wood retaining wall observed. Remainder of dyke facing appears to be in good condition.	
Condition Rating: 4 Comments: Dyke face consists primarily of moderate to dense vegetation (trees, bushes, shrubs, wild grass). Some concrete rubble also noted extending down dyke face below drainage outlet pipe at St. 0+710. Gabion basket flood wall from ~St. 0+625 (photo 7773) converting to wood retaining wall on gabion baskets from St. 0+660 to ~0+685 (photo 7778).		
<u>Toe</u> :	<u>Joints</u> : (not applicable to earthfill dykes)	
Toe Protection Material (concrete, gabion, earth or other) Specify: Primarily earth (soil) with overlying dense vegetation. Becoming gabion basket from St. 0+625 to 0+685.	Sealant Present Yes • No •	
Erosion Yes • No ✓	If yes, condition rating:	
Condition Rating: 4 Comments: No signs of erosion noted, primarily from St. 0+425	Vegetation (in joints) Yes • No • Comments: Not applicable.	
to 0+625 where the toe extends to a low lying vegetated area.  Areas along the gabion basket section could not be visually inspected due to the adjacent water level along this section.	Comments. Not applicable.	
Vegetation:	Water Infiltration: (look for where water can leak behind structure)	
None • Full ✓ Partial •	Yes • No ✓	
Type: Primarily trees with shrubs, bushes, wild grass, etc.  Comments: Vegetation generally well established along side	If yes, due to: Joints • Cracks •	
slopes of dyke, including areas above the gabion basket (St.	Liner • Other (specify) •	
0+625 to 0+660) and wood retaining wall (St. 0+660 to 0+685).	<b>Comments:</b> No water infiltration noted through dyke face.	
Additional Information:  Access Available  Yes  No  Estimated Water Depth: ~0.5m along gabion basket section  Comments: Accessibility along dyke face is generally limited to sections from St. 0+425 to 0+625 (i.e. prior to the gabion basket section)  and St. 0+660 to 0+766.8 through moderate to dense vegetation. Access to the gabion basket face is limited due to the vertical nature of the flood wall.  Top of Dyke		
Dyke Top Material:	Structural Condition (Top of Dyke):	
Concrete • Asphalt • Earth ✓ Rip Rap •	Erosion (behind wall, etc.) • Damage (vandalism, etc) • Movement (including • Specify type: unevenness, heaving or settlement)	
Other ✓ Specify: Grass	Solitoniony	
Condition Rating: 4	Condition Rating: 4	
<b>Comments:</b> Generally earth along top of dyke from St. 0+425 to 0+766.8 consisting of a mix of sparse to dense vegetation (trees,	Comments: No noticeable signs of erosion.	
shrubs, bushes, etc.). Various sections of the dyke intersect backyards of adjacent residential properties consisting of grass and vegetation (photo 7782).		
Pedestrian Access:	Protective Barrier:	
Sidewalk • Pathway • None ✓	Fence • Guard Rail • None ✓	
Other • Specify: If present, width of access way:	Other • Specify: Condition Rating (if present):	
Condition Rating (if present):	Comments: No protective barrier present.	
Comments: No constructed pedestrian access is available. Various sections of the top of dyke are accessible through backyards of adjacent residential properties.		

Top of Dyke - Continued		
Joints: (not applicable to earthfill dykes) Sealant Present Yes · No · If yes, condition rating: Vegetation (in joints) Yes · No · Comments: Not applicable	Drainage Conditions: (ponding or low areas present) Good ✓ Poor •  Comments: No ponding of water observed along top of dyke.  Drainage generally down dyke face.	
Illumination: (check for lighting present along the top of the dyke)  Yes • No ✓  Condition Rating:  Comments:	Vegetation:  None • Full ✓ Partial ✓  Type: Bushes, wild grass, shrubs and trees (photo 7760).  Comments: Vegetation well established, showing no signs of distress.	
Additional Information:  Comments: As previously noted, sections of the top of dyke appear to intersect yards of adjacent residential properties.		
Area behind the Dyke (immediately adjacent)		
Predominant Material:  Concrete • Asphalt ✓ Earth ✓ Grass ✓ Other ✓ Specify: Rough vegetation  Condition Rating: 4 Comments: Predominantly sparse to dense vegetation from St. 0+425 to 0+475. Asphalt (Raymond Avenue) borders the dyke from St. 0+475 to 0+600 (photo 7762). St. 0+600 to 0+766.8 consists of dense vegetation (trees, bushes, etc).	Drainage Conditions: (ponding or low areas present) Good ✓ Poor Comments: Although ponded water was not observed during the site visit (dry conditions), low lying areas were noted behind the dyke, in several areas. Are catchbasins present? Yes ✓ No If yes, condition rating: 4 Comments: Catchbasins located along roadway (Raymond Avenue) adjacent to dyke from St. 0+425 to 0+475.	
Vegetation:         None       Full       ✓       Partial         Type:       Comments:       See above.	Accessibility: (check for access areas behind the dyke only)  Sidewalks • Pathways • Roads ✓ None ✓  Condition Rating (if present): 4  Comments: Limited accessibility to areas of dyke adjacent to residential homes, where access is provided through rear yards only. Rating above relates to area of dyke adjacent to Raymond Avenue only.	
Protective Barrier:  Fence ✓ Guard • None ✓ Rail  Other • Specify:  If fence, list type and ownership (i.e. private or city): Privacy fencing borders the area behind the dyke from St. 0+425 to 0+470 (photo 7754) and St. 0+625 to 0+766.8.  Condition Rating (if present):  Comments:	Illumination: (check for lighting present behind the dyke)  Yes ✓ No •  Condition Rating: 4  Comments: Lighting standards present along Raymond Avenue, adjacent to the dyke from St. 0+475 to 0+600. No other lighting available over section reviewed.	
Storm Sewers/Sanitary Sewers/Outlet Structures		
Yes ✓ No • (if no, proceed to next section) If yes, type of structure (i.e. outlet, headwall, drainage pipe, channel or flush): Specify: Approximate 250mm diameter PVC drainage outlet near top of dyke at St. 0+700 (photo 7785). With the exception of sanitary manholes and catchbasins located along Raymond Avenue, no other structures were observed.  Condition Rating: 3  Comments: PVC appears damaged (photo 7785), however flow	Outlet: (check for presence of gates or grates at the outlet) Flap Gate Yes • No • Safety Grate Yes • No •  Condition Rating: Comments: Not applicable.	
does not appear to be impeded.  Outlet Flow: (check for condition of channel downstream from pipe,	General Comments:	
obstructions within pipe, etc.)  Obstructions  Yes  No  No  Comments: No signs of erosion immediately downstream of the drainage outlet, however concrete rubble is scattered and does not appear to be placed to provide for protection against erosion.	TING SYSTEM	
1 Unsafe Condition Structure (or element) in very poor or unsafe condition which may pose public safety hazard. 2 Poor Condition Structure (or element) in poor condition with significant deterioration noted. Deteriorations noted may impact on integrity and may		

1 Unsafe Condition
2 Poor Condition
3 Fair/Poor Condition
4 Fair Condition
5 Good Condition
5 Structure (or element) in very poor or unsafe condition which may pose public safety hazard.
Structure (or element) in poor condition with significant deterioration noted. Deteriorations noted may impact on integrity and may require significant capital cost to bring to fair to poor condition rating. No safety hazard noted.
Structure (or element) condition varies from fair to poor with some signs of significant deterioration in localized areas. Able to perform function, but at reduced capacity.
Structure (or element) in fair condition with no visible signs of significant deterioration. Able to perform intended function with no apparent hindrance.



