

From: "P. Hunter" [REDACTED]
To: Rick Goldt <goldtr@thamesriver.on.ca>, SOX Roger Boyd [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Date: 6/16/2016 12:45 PM
Subject: SOX: Harrington EA PIC Comment Form

Hi Folks,

Rick,
Pls accept the following reply formatted from your earlier email -

From: Rick Goldt
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 12:14 PM
To: Pud Hunter ; Pud Hunter
Subject: Comment Sheets Harrington and Embro EA PIC2

- which I copied/ attached to this/ my email.

Thk you,
Pud
Director Stewardship Oxford

Upper Thames River Conservation Authority Class Environmental Assessment
Harrington Dam

Public Information Centre -Comment Form

The Environmental Assessment for the Harrington Dam, in the Harrington Conservation Area, is intended to address

safety concerns identified as part of the Dam Safety Assessment (ACRES, 2007) including insufficient spillway

capacity, spillway instability and embankment stability. Through the study, potential alternatives will be evaluated to

determine a course of action to mitigate dam safety concerns.

The project is being carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Conservation Ontario Class Environmental

Assessment. The study is being undertaken by the Upper Thames River Conservation Authority (UTRCA).

Public consultation is a key component of this study. This Public Information Centre (PIC) is held to receive public

input on the possible future alternatives for the Harrington Dam. Any feedback and comments provided will become

part of the public record for this project.

Please provide your comments in the areas that interest you.

Comments:

This submission is on behalf of Stewardship Oxford (SOX), an Oxford County based Council promoting sustainable resources management.

Such management are to be achieved through current environmental standards and science based information.

Considering the evaluation criteria required to be assessed through the Environmental Assessment process, what I

like and/or dislike about each alternative for the Harrington Dam is as follows:

Alternative 1 - Do Nothing

Dislike: perpetuates status quo which is detrimental to sustainable recourse management and results in deteriorating environmental conditions.

Does not allow upgrading to current environmental standards.

Alternative 2 - Remove Dam and Install Rocky Ramp

Like: as a 2nd option to 1st preferred option Alternative 3; allows upgrading to current environmental standards; enhancing watershed benefits; suggest cost-benefit analysis.

Alternative 3 - Remove Dam and Construct a Natural Channel

Like: as a 1st option; allows upgrading to current environmental standards; enhancing watershed benefits.

Alternative 4 - Remove Dam and Construct an Offline Pond and Natural Channel

Like: as a 3rd option to 1st preferred option Alternative 3 and 2nd option to Alternative 2; preference for wetland prior to pond.

Dislike: artificial structures; management needs so pond or wetland does not negatively impact watercourse; suggest cost-benefits analysis.

Page 2 of 2

Alternative 5 - Replace Dam with new Structure Downstream of the Existing Dam

Dislike: maintains status quo management; perpetuates degraded/ degrading environmental conditions.

Alternative 6 - Replace Dam with an Earthen Dam of Lower Crest Elevation

Dislike: maintains status quo management; perpetuates degraded/ degrading environmental conditions.

Alternative 7 - Reconstruct the Existing Dam in Current Location with New Materials

Dislike: maintains status quo management; perpetuates degraded/ degrading environmental conditions.

The Alternative that I like the most is Alternative: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (Please Circle)

... .. 3

Other things that have not been discussed but which the study team should consider?

Please print your name and address below, and leave your completed Comment Form in the box provided. You may

also email your comments to harrington_dam@thamesriver.on.ca, or mail your comments to:

Rick Goldt C.E.T.

Supervisor, Water Control Structures

Upper Thames River Conservation Authority

1424 Clark Road, London, ON N5V 5B8

Tel.: 519-451-2800 ext. 244

goldtr@thamesriver.on.ca

Name: Submitted on behalf of Roger Boyd, Chair Stewardship Oxford (SOX) _____

Address & Postal Code: _____

E-mail Address: _____

By: P. Hunter, Director Stewardship Oxford (SOX); _____

Please submit comments by June 2, 2016

Thank you for your participation.

Personal information on this form is collected under the authority of the Conservation Authorities Act and will be used

for the purposes of the Harrington Dam Class EA only. Questions about the collection of personal information should

be directed to: General Manager, Upper Thames River Conservation Authority, 1424 Clarke Rd., London, Ontario.

N5V 5B9 (519) 451-2800.

From: "P. Hunter" [REDACTED]
To: Rick Goldt <goldtr@thamesriver.on.ca>, Robert Huber [REDACTED]
CC: Randy Bailey [REDACTED]
Date: 6/16/2016 12:45 PM
Subject: TRAA: Harrington EA PIC Comment Form

Hi Folks,

Rick,

Pls accept the following reply formatted from your earlier email -

From: Rick Goldt
 Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 12:14 PM
 To: Pud Hunter ; Pud Hunter
 Subject: Comment Sheets Harrington and Embro EA PIC2

- which I copied/ attached to this/ my email.

Thk you,
 Pud
 Thames River Anglers Association

 Upper Thames River Conservation Authority Class Environmental Assessment
 Harrington Dam
 Public Information Centre – Comment Form

The Environmental Assessment for the Harrington Dam, in the Harrington Conservation Area, is intended to address

safety concerns identified as part of the Dam Safety Assessment (ACRES, 2007) including insufficient spillway

capacity, spillway instability and embankment stability. Through the study, potential alternatives will be evaluated to

determine a course of action to mitigate dam safety concerns.

The project is being carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Conservation Ontario Class Environmental

Assessment. The study is being undertaken by the Upper Thames River Conservation Authority (UTRCA).

Public consultation is a key component of this study. This Public Information Centre (PIC) is held to receive public

input on the possible future alternatives for the Harrington Dam. Any feedback and comments provided will become

part of the public record for this project.

Please provide your comments in the areas that interest you.

Comments:

This submission is on behalf of the Thames River Angling Association (TRAA).

TRAA is a Thames River Watershed based Association promoting wise resources management and benefits associated with the Thames River Watershed.

Considering the evaluation criteria required to be assessed through the Environmental Assessment process, what I

like and/or dislike about each alternative for the Harrington Dam is as follows:

Alternative 1 – Do Nothing

Dislike: perpetuates status quo which is detrimental to sustainable recourse management and results in deteriorating environmental conditions.

Does not allow upgrading to current environmental standards.

Alternative 2 – Remove Dam and Install Rocky Ramp

Like: as a 2nd option to 1st preferred option Alternative 3; allows upgrading to current environmental standards; enhancing watershed benefits; suggest cost-benefit analysis.

Alternative 3 – Remove Dam and Construct a Natural Channel

Like: as a 1st option; allows upgrading to current environmental standards; enhancing watershed benefits.

Alternative 4 – Remove Dam and Construct an Offline Pond and Natural Channel

Like: as a 3rd option to 1st preferred option Alternative 3 and 2nd option to Alternative 2; preference for wetland prior to pond.

Dislike: artificial structures; management needs so pond or wetland does not negatively impact watercourse; suggest cost-benefits analysis.

Page 2 of 2

Alternative 5 – Replace Dam with new Structure Downstream of the Existing Dam

Dislike: maintains status quo management; perpetuates degraded/ degrading environmental conditions.

Alternative 6 – Replace Dam with an Earthen Dam of Lower Crest Elevation

Dislike: maintains status quo management; perpetuates degraded/ degrading environmental conditions.

Alternative 7 – Reconstruct the Existing Dam in Current Location with New Materials

Dislike: maintains status quo management; perpetuates degraded/ degrading environmental conditions.

The Alternative that I like the most is Alternative: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (Please Circle)

... .. 3

Other things that have not been discussed but which the study team should consider?

Please print your name and address below, and leave your completed Comment Form in the box provided. You may

also email your comments to harrington_dam@thamesriver.on.ca, or mail your comments to:

Rick Goldt C.E.T.

Supervisor, Water Control Structures

Upper Thames River Conservation Authority

1424 Clark Road, London, ON N5V 5B8

Tel.: 519-451-2800 ext. 244

goldtr@thamesriver.on.ca

Name: Submitted on behalf Robert Huber, President, Thames River Anglers Association_____

Address & Postal Code: [REDACTED] _____

E-mail Address: [REDACTED] _____

Addition: Randy Bailey; Past President TRAA ; [REDACTED]

By: P. Hunter, TRAA; [REDACTED]

Please submit comments by June 2, 2016

Thank you for your participation.

Personal information on this form is collected under the authority of the Conservation Authorities Act and will be used

for the purposes of the Harrington Dam Class EA only. Questions about the collection of personal information should

be directed to: General Manager, Upper Thames River Conservation Authority, 1424 Clarke Rd., London, Ontario.

N5V 5B9 (519) 451-2800.

From: Rick Goldt
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 12:14 PM
To: Pud Hunter ; Pud Hunter
Subject: Comment Sheets Harrington and Embro EA PIC2

Pud,

Please find attached the Comment sheets as discussed.

Regards,

Rick Goldt C.E.T.
Supervisor, Water Control Structures
Upper Thames River Conservation Authority
1424 Clarke Rd.
London ON
N5V 5B9
ph. 519-451-2800 X244
C 519-719-4192
goldtr@thamesriver.on.ca

<The contents of this e-mail and any attachments are intended for the named recipient(s). This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, are not the named recipient(s), or believe that you are not the intended recipient immediately notify the sender and permanently delete this message without reviewing, copying, forwarding, disclosing or otherwise using it or any part of it in any form whatsoever.>

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2016.0.7640 / Virus Database: 4604/12425 - Release Date: 06/15/16